

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/820,672	03/30/2001	Michael P. Dallmeyer	051252-5189	4276	
9629	7590 06/23/2004		EXAMINER		
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			KIM, PAUL D		
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	J., 20 2000		3729	3729	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/820,672	DALLMEYER ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paul D Kim	3729				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 26 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in				
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
<ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires months from the mailing date</li> <li>b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the feet of the first of the control o	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 15 March 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-24.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	-1/1/1/1				
10. Other:		[[ [ ]   ]   h ]				
		A. DEXTER TUGBANG PRIMARY EXAMINER				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the prior art of record fails to dislose the claimed invention such as an assembling a fuel group by performing in the order of sequential steps. Applicant indicated that the reference of Dallmeyer states at col. 8 that the assembling steps of the fuel group are not in the order as the claimed invention. Examiner respectively traverses the argument. The assembling steps of the fuel group of Dallmeyer at col. 8 do not describe a sequential order (such as using a word of "before" and/or "thereafter") for assembling the fuel group. Exmainer read the steps of Dallmeyer that the numbering of the assembling steps at col. 8 does not mean for the sequential order. Accordingly, Dallmeyer disloses all of the steps at col. 8 as recited steps in each of claims 1 and 10.